2006 Consumer Products Regulation Workgroup Meeting

June 1, 2006



Overview

- Background
- Progress to Date
- Initial Draft Proposed Category Standards
- Other Regulatory Amendments / Issues
- 2006 Regulatory Timeline
- Next Steps
- Contacts and Resources

Background

- Third Workgroup Meeting
- Board Hearing Scheduled for November 2006
- Goal: 10-15 tpd VOC Reduction Statewide
- More Reductions Will be Achieved if Possible
- Survey Data Reviewed / Revised

Progress to Date

- Analyzed/Revised Survey Data
- Created "Regulatory Categories" from Survey Data
- Released Table of Proposed Draft Initial Category Standards
- Released List of Products Contained in Regulatory Categories
- Determined Market Coverage Factors
- Have Begun to Develop &/or Revise Regulatory Category Definitions
- Released List of "Additional Items to Consider for 2006 Consumer Products Regulation Amendments"

Initial Draft Proposed Category Standards

Table Description

- Previously Regulated?
- Market Coverage Factor
- Category Emissions
- VOC Range
- Sales Weighted Average VOC



Initial Draft Proposed Category Standards

Table Description (cont.)

- Proposed Standard
- Reductions Achieved
- Complying Market Share
- Complying Products / Total Products

Some Data Withheld to Protect Confidentiality

Initial Draft Proposed Category Standards

Market Sectors

- Automotive Care
- Personal Care
- Adhesives / Sealants & Caulks
- Cleaners / Degreasers / Disinfectants
- Charcoal Lighter Material
- Fabric Care

Initial Draft Proposed Category Standards

Market Sectors (cont.)

- Lubricants & Penetrants
- Waxes & Polishes
- Air Care
- Pesticides
- Food Related



Other Regulatory Amendments / Issues

- Multi-function Analysis
- VOC Exemptions
- Nail Polish Removers



- Aerosol Coatings Regulation
 - Most Restrictive Limit (in conjunction with CP Regulation)
 - Refine definition of "Aerosol Coating"

Other Regulatory Amendments / Issues (cont.)

- Rubber / Vinyl Protectant Re-analysis
- Re-evaluate Fragrance Exemption Percentage (per category)
- Assess Toxic Compounds Use In Specific Categories
- Administrative Changes

Multifunction Analysis

- Multi-function Products Make Claims to Remove Multiple Contaminants Such as:
 - Chewing gum; candle wax; stickers; decals; latex paint drips; adhesives; bumper stickers; tape; glue; crayon; markers; ink; oil; grease; grime; goo; spots; carpet stains; lipstick; shoe polish; graffiti; scuff marks; soap residue; asphalt; flux; nail polish; bugs; tar; and many more...
- By Policy and Product Determination Letters, Such Products Have Not Been Subject to Most Restrictive Limit Provision; no VOC Limit for Multi-purpose Solvents
- Inconsistent Treatment of Regulated Products vs. Multifunction Products

Multi-function Analysis

(cont.)

Commitments Made in Last Rulemaking:

- Determine Appropriate Regulatory Strategy For Products that Make Multiple Removal Claims
 - Consider redefining Multi-purpose Solvents and regulate as a separate category
 - Consider regulating multi-function products under the Most Restrictive Limit Provision
- Re-evaluate Technical Feasibility of General Purpose Adhesive Removers & Graffiti Removers Limits (adopted in 2004 rulemaking)

Multi-function Analysis

(cont.)

- Analysis of Reported General Purpose Adhesive Remover & Graffiti Remover Products Supports Commercial/ Technological Feasibility Claims for Limits Approved in 2004 Rulemaking
- ARB Staff Proposes That Multi-function Products Comply with Most Restrictive Limit Provision
 - Each regulated removal claim can be found on competing, complying products in market place
 - Necessary to preserve reductions claimed in previous rulemakings
 may be able to quantify additional reductions
 - Will require many MFP products to reformulate and/or re-label
 - Detailed technical analysis will be provided in ISOR

VOC Exemptions

- TBAC (tertiary-Butyl acetate)
 - May be incorporated into amendments
 - Staff will evaluate on a category by category basis if an increased use of tbac could cause unacceptable exposures, and may consider limiting its use
- HFC-43-10 mee, HCFC-225 ca and cb, HFC-245 fa, HFE-7100 & HFE-7200
 - Clarifications and data submittal ongoing, may be difficult to incorporate in to amendments depending on timing

Nail Polish Removers

- Currently Has a 0% VOC Limit
- Impurities in Raw Materials, & a VOC-creating Hydrolysis Reaction With Methyl Acetate Cause Products to Become Out of Compliance
- Propose Raising VOC limit to 1% to Address Impurity & Hydrolysis Issues
- Emission Shortfall Would be Minimal

Aerosol Coatings Regulation Overlap with Consumer Products Regulation

- Add Language to Address This Situation
 - For example: Rubber & Vinyl Protectants may be subject to both Aerosol Coatings Regulation & Consumer Products Regulation
- Clarify Aerosol Coating Definition?
- Most Restrictive Limit Between Regulations?

Rubber / Vinyl Protectant Re-analysis

- Drafting Error in Current Regulation, Should Have Been "Rubber OR Vinyl Protectant," Per Staff Report
- May Overlap With Aerosol Coatings Regulation
- Made Request to Obtain Formulation and Sales Info for Aerosol Products
- Will Perform Technical Assessment of the Aerosol VOC Limit

Re-evaluate Fragrance Exemption Percentage (per category)

 2% May be Too High for Many Categories, Such as Fabric Softeners, Cleaners etc.

Assess Toxic Compounds Use In Specific Categories

- n-Hexane in Adhesives
- MeCl in Brush Cleaners
- Perc in Tire Sealers / Inflators
- Consider Other Categories as we Encounter Specific Toxic Compounds

Administrative Changes

- Consider Requiring IPE Products to Include Executive Order Number on Label
 - Necessary to keep track of IPE products
- Define "Manufacture for Sale in California"
 - Definition would enhance ability to enforce the prohibition of selling non-complying products in CA
- Other Non-substantive Administrative Changes
 - Existing products prohibition
 - Reference Automotive ATCM
 - Others to be determined

Regulatory Timeline

(tentative)

•	Third Workgroup Meeting	June 1, 2006
•	Fourth Workgroup Meeting	July 2006
•	Fifth Workgroup Meeting & First Public Workshop	August 2006
•	45-Day Notice Release	Sept. 29, 2006
	Board Hearing Date	Nov 16 2006

 Additional Workshops/Workgroup/Subgroup/Individual Meetings TBA as Needed

Next Steps

- Refine Proposed Regulatory Categories and Limits
- Develop / Revise Category Definitions
- Confer With Interested Parties on Technical Issues for Specific Categories
- Fourth Workgroup Meeting July 2006



Contact Information

Name	Responsibility	Phone	Email
Janette Brooks	Chief, Air Quality Measures Branch	(916)322-7072	jbrooks@arb.ca.gov
David Mallory	Manager, Measures Development Section -2006 CP Regulation Amendments; 2003 Consumer Products Survey; Selected CP categories	(916)445-8316	dmallory@arb.ca.gov
Judy Yee	Manager, Implementation Section -ACP; IPE; Charcoal lighter certifications; CP Fees; Automotive wax and polishes; Adhesives; Selected CP categories	(916)322-9148	jyee@arb.ca.gov
Carla Takemoto	Manager, Technical Evaluation Section -Aerosol coating reg.; Antiperspirant & deodorant reg.; VOC exemptions; Reactivity; Toxics; Selected CP categories	(916)324-8028	ctakemot@arb.ca.gov
Trish Johnson	Staff Lead, 2006 Regulatory Amendments	(916)445-3365	tjohnson@arb.ca.gov

Websites



- Air Resources Board website: http://www.arb.ca.gov
- Consumer Products website: http://www.arb.ca.gov/consprod/consprod.htm
- 2003 Survey Data Summaries website: http://www.arb.ca.gov/consprod/regact/2003surv/datasum2003.htm
- Consumer Products Regulations website: http://www.arb.ca.gov/consprod/regs/regs.htm
- Consumer Products List Server website: http://www.arb.ca.gov/listserv/listserv.php
- 2006 Consumer Products Regulation Amendments website: http://www.arb.ca.gov/consprod/regact/cpwg2006/cpwg2006.htm